

In the Matter of)
)
City of Lago Vista, Texas) File No. 0008754727
)
Request for Waiver of Section 90.242(b)(4)(iv))
of the Commission's Rules)

Released: January 3, 2020

547

3. In an engineering study, Lago Vista demonstrates that its proposal comports with the TIS spacing rule⁶ relative to the closest co-channel station (XEFCR, 1670 kilohertz, Reynosa, Mexico) and the closest adjacent channel station (KRZI, 1660 kilohertz, Waco, Texas).⁷

4. Addressing the Commission's waiver criteria,⁸ the City contends that requiring Lago Vista to conform to section 90.242(b)(4)(iv) – whose purpose is to protect broadcasters from TIS interference – would not be served if applied to the instant matter because Lago Vista has demonstrated the lack of interference from its proposed TIS facility. The City also submits that strict adherence to section 90.242(b)(4)(iv) would have the unintended effect of requiring Lago Vista to install additional expensive TIS facilities to realize expanded coverage.⁹ Section 90.242(b)(4)(iv) was not intended, the City argues, to impose a cost burden on agencies responsible for informing the travelling public¹⁰

III. DISCUSSION

5. Section 1.925(b)(3) of the Commission's rules provides that: “the Commission may grant a request for waiver if it is shown that: (i) The underlying purpose of the rule(s) would not be served or would be frustrated by application to the instant case, and that a grant of the requested waiver would be in the public interest; or (ii) In view of unique or unusual factual circumstances of the instant case, application of the rule(s) would be inequitable, unduly burdensome or contrary to the public interest, or the applicant has no reasonable alternative.”¹¹ An applicant seeking a waiver faces a high hurdle and must plead with particularity the facts and circumstances that warrant a waiver.¹²

6. Based on the record before us we find that Lago Vista has satisfied the first prong of the Commission's waiver standard. Lago Vista has demonstrated the need to expand its coverage to relay road conditions and other hazards to its community. Additionally, because the separation of the TIS from the nearest co-channel and adjacent-channel stations substantially exceeds that required by the rules, we are confident that grant of the waiver will not result in harmful interference. Accordingly, we find that the requested waiver is in the public interest.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

7. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 303(r), and Section 1.925 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR § 1.925, that the Request for Waiver of the City of Lago Vista, Texas, filed on August 6, 2019, IS GRANTED.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that application File No. 0008754727, filed by the City of Lago Vista, Texas SHALL BE PROCESSED in accordance with this Order and the Commission's rules.

⁶ 47 CFR § 90.242(a)(2). See Statement of Doug Vernier, October 7, 2019 appended to the Lago Vista application and waiver request (Engineering Statement).

⁷ See Engineering Statement, Attachments 1-2.

⁸ See 47 CFR § 1.925.

⁹ Waiver Justification.

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ 47 CFR § 1.925(b)(3).

¹² *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 413 F.2d 1153, 1157 (D.C. Cir. 1969) (*WAIT Radio*), *aff'd*, 459 F.2d 1203 (1973), *cert. denied*, 409 U.S. 1027 (1972) (citing *Rio Grande Family Radio Fellowship, Inc. v. FCC*, 406 F.2d 664 (D.C. Cir. 1968)); *Birach Broad. Corp.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 18 FCC Rcd 1414, 1415 (2003).

9. This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.191 and 0.392 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.191, 0.392.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Michael J. Wilhelm
Chief, Policy and Licensing Division
Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau